Application No. Applicant(s) 10/604.336 STEFANSSON, ULF Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Vishal Patel 3676 Status of Application: ____ All Participants: (1) Vishal Patel. (3) _____. (4) _____ (2) Kenneth M. Fagin. Date of Interview: 26 February 2010 Time: Type of Interview: ☐ Video Confe Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) ⊠ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Rejections made on 3/3/09 Claims discussed: claims 22-25 Prior art documents discussed: Nathan Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Provided how the claims are rejected based on the prior art of record. Did told applicant that some combination of claims maybe allowable but furher review of the claims and prior of Nathan, all claims are rejected. Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A bnef summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)